USC-SIPI REPORT #163

Spectral Properties of Preconditioned Rational Toeplitz Matrices

by

Takang Ku and C.-C. Jay Kuo

September 1990

Signal and Image Processing Institute
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
Department of Electrical Engineering-Systems
Powell Hall of Engineering
University Park/MC-0272
Los Angeles, CA 90089 U.S.A.

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF PRECONDITIONED RATIONAL TOEPLITZ MATRICES *

TAKANG KU[†] AND C.-C. JAY KUO[†]

Abstract. Various Toeplitz preconditioners P_N have recently been proposed so that the $N \times N$ symmetric positive definite Toeplitz system $T_N x = b$ can be solved effectively by the preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG) method. It was proved that, if T_N is generated by a positive function in the Wiener class, the spectra of the preconditioned matrices $P_N^{-1} T_N$ are clustered between $(1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ except a finite number of outliers. In this research, we characterize the spectra of $P_N^{-1} T_N$ more precisely for rational Toeplitz matrices T_N with preconditioners proposed by Strang [19] and the authors [15]. We prove that the number of outliers depends on the order of the rational generating function, and the clustering radius ϵ is proportional to the magnitude of the last element in the generating sequence used to construct these preconditioners. For the special case with T_N generated by a geometric sequence, our approach can be used to determine the exact eigenvalue distribution of $P_N^{-1} T_N$ analytically.

Key words. Toeplitz matrix, preconditioned conjugate gradient method

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 65F10, 65F15

1. Introduction. The system of linear equations associated with the symmetric positive definite (SPD) Toeplitz matrices arises in many applications such as time series analysis and digital signal processing. Conventionally, the $N \times N$ symmetric Toeplitz system $T_N x = b$ is solved by algorithms based on Levinson recursion formula [10],[16] with $O(N^2)$ operations. Superfast algorithms with $O(N \log^2 N)$ complexity have been studied intensively in the last ten years [1], [2], [3], [13]. More recently, Strang [19] proposed to use an iterative method, i.e. the preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG) method, to solve the SPD Toeplitz system and, as a consequence, the design of effective Toeplitz preconditioners has received much attention.

Strang's preconditioner S_N [19] is obtained by preserving the central half diagonals of T_N and using them to form a circulant matrix. Since S_N is circulant, the matrix-vector product $S_N^{-1} v$ can be conveniently computed via Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) with $O(N \log N)$ operations. It has been shown by R. Chan and Strang [5] [7] that the spectrum of $S_N^{-1} T_N$ is clustered around 1 except a finite number of outliers for Toeplitz matrices generated by positive functions in the Wiener class. Another preconditioner C_N was proposed by T. Chan [8] and is defined to be the circulant matrix which minimizes the Frobenius norm $\|R_N - T_N\|_F$ over all circulant matrices R_N of size $N \times N$. This turns out to be a simple optimization problem, and the elements of C_N can be computed directly from the elements of T_N. The spectrum of $C_N^{-1} T_N$ is asymptotically equivalent to that of $S_N^{-1} T_N$ [6]. In addition to preconditioners in circulant form, preconditioners in skew-circulant form [9] have been studied by Huckle [14]. We recently proposed a general approach for constructing Toeplitz preconditioners [15]. Under this framework, preconditioners proposed by Strang, Chan and Huckle can be viewed as special cases and, more interestingly, preconditioners which are neither circulant nor skew-circulant can also be derived.

In [15], four new preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ were constructed, and it was demonstrated numerically that they have better convergence performances than other preconditioners for rational Toeplitz matrices. It was also observed in [15] that for positive definite rational Toeplitz matrices T_N in the Wiener class with order (p, q), the spectra of $P_N^{-1} T_N$ with preconditoners S_N and $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, have strong regularities. These regularities can be roughly stated as follows. Let the

---

† Signal and Image Processing Institute and Department of Electrical Engineering-Systems, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-0272. E-mail:tkku@sipi.usc.edu and cckuo@sipi.usc.edu

eigenvalues of $P_N^{-1}T_N$ be classfied into two classes, i.e. clustered eigenvalues and outliers, depending on whether they converge to 1 asymptotically. Then, (1) the number of outliers is at most $2\max(p,q)$; and (2) the clustered eigenvalues are confined to an interval $(1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon)$ with the radius ϵ proportional to the magnitude of the last element in the generating sequence used to construct the preconditioners. The main objective of this research is to prove these spectral regularities analytically.

With the above spectral regularities, the number of iterations required to reduce the norm of the residual $\|b - T_N x_k\|$ by a constant factor does not increase with the problem size N so that the solution of the system $T_N x = b$ requires $\max(p,q) \times O(N \log N)$ operations. In addition, the superior performance of preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ can be easily explained. That is, for a rational positive definite T_N in the Wiener class, the last elements used to construct $K_{i,N}$ and S_N are, respectively, t_N and $t_{\lceil N/2 \rceil}$ so that the corresponding radii are $\epsilon_K = O(t_N)$ and $\epsilon_S = O(t_{\lceil N/2 \rceil})$. Since $O(t_N) << O(t_{\lceil N/2 \rceil})$ for sufficiently large N, the PCG method with preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ converge faster than that with preconditioner S_N.

It is worthwhile to point out that there exist direct methods which solve rational Toeplitz systems with $\max(p,q) \times O(N)$ operations [11], [22], [23]. However, the PCG method has three advantages in comparison with direct methods. First, to implement the PCG algorithm, we only need a finite segment of the generating sequence t_n, $n = 0,1,\cdots,N-1$, which is provided by the problem, rather than the precise formula of the rational generating function. Second, the PCG method can be easily parallelized due to the parallelism provided by FFT, and it is possible to reduce the time complexity to $\max(p,q) \times O(\log N)$. In contrast, the proposed direct methods are sequential algorithms, and the time complexity cannot be further reduced. Third, the PCG method is more widely applicable. For example, it can also be applied to Toeplitz systems with nonrational Toeplitz generating functions or those arise from the multidimensional space.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review briefly the construction of preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ and summarize some of their spectral properties studied in [15]. In Sections 3 and 4, we prove the desired spectral properties of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$ described above. The main idea is to transform the original generalized eigenvalue problem to an equivalent problem with nearly banded Toeplitz matrices. A similar approach can be used to study the spectral properties of $S_N^{-1}T_N$, which is presented in Section 5. In Section 6, we use the analysis in Sections 3-5 to determine the exact eigenvalue distribution of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$ and $S_N^{-1}T_N$ for Toeplitz matrices with the geometric generating sequence.

2. Construction and spectral properties of Toeplitz preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$.

Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric positive definite Toeplitz matrices with generating sequence t_n. Then,

$$
T_N = \begin{bmatrix}
t_0 & t_1 & \cdot & t_{N-2} & t_{N-1} \\
t_1 & t_0 & t_1 & \cdot & t_{N-2} \\
\cdot & t_1 & t_0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
t_{N-2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & t_1 \\
t_{N-1} & t_{N-2} & \cdot & t_1 & t_0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$, for T_N are constructed by relating T_N to a $2N \times 2N$ circulant matrix R_{2N},

$$
R_{2N} = \begin{bmatrix} T_N & \Delta T_N \\ \Delta T_N & T_N \end{bmatrix},
$$

where ΔT_N is determined by the elements of T_N to make R_{2N} circulant, i.e.,

$$
(2.1) \qquad \Delta T_N = \begin{bmatrix}
c & t_{N-1} & \cdot & t_2 & t_1 \\
t_{N-1} & c & t_{N-1} & \cdot & t_2 \\
\cdot & t_{N-1} & c & \cdot & \cdot \\
t_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & t_{N-1} \\
t_1 & t_2 & \cdot & t_{N-1} & c
\end{bmatrix},
$$

with a constant c. If the behavior of the sequence t_n is known, we choose c to be t_N. Otherwise, any $|c| \le |t_{N-1}|$ can be used.

Consider the following augmented circulant system,

$$(2.2) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} T_N & \Delta T_N \\ \Delta T_N & T_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution of the above circulant system can be computed efficiently via FFT with $O(N \log N)$ operations. Since the system (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(T_N + \Delta T_N)x = b,$$

this implies that $(T_N + \Delta T_N)^{-1}b$ can be computed efficiently and

$$K_{1,N} = T_N + \Delta T_N,$$

can be used as a preconditioner for T_N. Three other preconditioners can be constructed in a similar way by assuming negative, even and odd periodicities for x and b. We summarize the augmented systems and preconditioners as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_N & \Delta T_N \\ \Delta T_N & T_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -b \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{2,N} = T_N - \Delta T_N,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_N & \Delta T_N \\ \Delta T_N & T_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ J_N x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ J_N b \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{3,N} = T_N + J_N \Delta T_N,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_N & \Delta T_N \\ \Delta T_N & T_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ -J_N x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ -J_N b \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{4,N} = T_N - J_N \Delta T_N,$$

where J_N is the $N \times N$ symmetric elementary matrix which has, by definition, ones along the secondary diagonal and zeros elsewhere ($J_{N,i,j} = 1$ if $i + j = N + 1$ and $J_{N,i,j} = 0$ if $i + j \neq N + 1$).

Since preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, correspond to $2N$-circulant systems, the matrix-vector product $K_{i,N}^{-1}v$ for an arbitrary v can be achieved via $2N$-point FFT with $O(N \log N)$ operations. However, we should point out that $K_{1,N}$ is circulant and $K_{2,N}$ is skew-circulant so that it is possible to perform $K_{1,N}^{-1}v$ and $K_{2,N}^{-1}v$ via N-point FFT. Although preconditioners $K_{3,N}$ and $K_{4,N}$ are neither circulant nor skew-circulant, $K_{3,N}^{-1}v$ and $K_{4,N}^{-1}v$ can be computed via N-point fast Cosine and Sine transforms, respectively. The operation counts for N-point fast Cosine (or Sine) transform are approximately equal to that of N-point FFT in both the order and proportional constants [17], [18], [25]. Therefore, the computational cost for the preconditioning step $K_{i,N}^{-1}v$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is about the same. For more details in implementing the PCG algorithm, we refer to [15].

To understand the relationship between the spectra of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we can rewrite the eigenvalue of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$ as

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda(K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N)]^{-1} &= \lambda(T_N^{-1}(T_N + K_{i,N} - T_N)) = \lambda(I + T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)) \\ (2.3) &= 1 + \lambda(T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)), \end{aligned}$$

and examine the relationship between the spectra of $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$. This is characterized by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let Q_i be the set of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$, i.e.

$$Q_i = \{|\lambda| : (K_{i,N} - T_N)x = \lambda T_N x\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then, $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q_3 = Q_4$.

Proof. See [15]. $\square$

The above theorem can be stated alternatively as follows. Let λ be an arbitrary eigenvalue of $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$, there exists an eigenvalue of $T_N^{-1}(K_{j,N} - T_N)$, where $j \neq i$, with magnitude $|\lambda|$. From (2.3), spectra of $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$ clustered around zero is equivalent to those of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$ clustered around unity. Since spectra of $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$ are clustered in a very similar pattern, so are those of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$.

We assume that the generating sequence t_n for the sequence of Toeplitz matrices T_m satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(2.4) \qquad \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t_n| < \infty,$$

$$(2.5) \qquad T(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} t_n e^{-in\theta} \geq \delta > 0, \quad \forall \theta,$$

and the resulting matrices are said to be generated by a positive function in the Wiener class. Since $T(e^{i\theta})$ describes the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution of T_m, the above conditions assume that eigenvalues of T_m are bounded and uniformly positive, asymptotically. With (2.4) and (2.5), we can derive two spectral properties of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$.

THEOREM 2. *Preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, for symmetric positive definite Toeplitz matrices with the generating sequence satisfying (2.4) and (2.5) are uniformly positive definite and bounded for sufficiently large N.*

Proof. See [15]. □

THEOREM 3. *Let T_N be the $N \times N$ matrix in a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric positive definite Toeplitz matrices T_m with the generating sequence satisfying (2.4) and (2.5). The eigenvalues of the matrix $T_N^{-1}(K_{i,N} - T_N)$ are clustered between $(-\epsilon, +\epsilon)$ except a finite number of outliers for sufficiently large $N(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. See [15]. □

Theorems 2 and 3 hold for both rational or nonrational Toeplitz matrices satisfying (2.4) and (2.5). However, when T_N is additionally rational, we are able to obtain stronger results and characterize the spectra of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$ more precisely. In Sections 3 and 4, we will focus on the spectrum of $K_{1,N}^{-1} T_N$, from which the spectra of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$, $i = 2, 3, 4$, can be estimated based on Theorem 1.

3. Rational generating functions for ΔT_N.

Due to (2.3), the spectral properties of $K_{1,N}^{-1} T_N$ can be determined by examing those of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$, where ΔT_N is given in (2.1) with $c = t_N$. Let t_n, $-\infty < n < \infty$, be the generating sequence of a sequence of $m \times m$ Toeplitz matrices T_m. The Laurent series

$$T(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t_n z^{-n},$$

is known as the generating function of these matrices. If matrices T_m are symmetric, we can decompose $T(z)$ into

$$(3.1) \qquad T(z) = T_+(z^{-1}) + T_+(z),$$

where

$$(3.2) \qquad T_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{t_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^{-n}.$$

Thus, $T(z)$ is completely characterized by $T_+(z^{-1})$. Additionally, if

$$(3.3) \qquad T_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{A(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + a_p z^{-p}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + b_q z^{-q}},$$

where polynomials $A(z^{-1})$ and $B(z^{-1})$ have no common factor and $a_p b_q \neq 0$. We call $T_+(z^{-1})$ a rational function of order (p, q) and matrices T_m rational Toeplitz matrices. Note that $b_0 = 1$. From (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \qquad T(z) = \frac{A(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} + \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}.$$

It is well known [12] that there exists an isomorphism between the ring of the power series $P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ (or $P(z^{-1})$) and the ring of semi-infinite lower (or upper) triangular Toeplitz matrices with $p_0, p_1, \cdots, p_n, \cdots$ as the first column (or row). The power series multiplication is isomorphic to matrix multiplication. By applying the isomorphism to (3.4) and focusing on the leading $N \times N$ blocks of the corresponding matrices, we can derive the following relationship [12]

$$(3.5) \qquad T_N = L_a L_b^{-1} + U_a U_b^{-1},$$

where L_a (or U_a) is an $N \times N$ lower (or upper) triangular Toeplitz matrix with first N coefficients in $A(z)$ as its first column (or row). Matrices L_b and U_b are defined similarly. We can also establish expressions similar to (3.5) for ΔT_N. To do so, we first note that the sequence t_n is recursively defined for large n. This is stated as follows.

LEMMA 1. *The sequence t_n generated by (3.2) and (3.3) follows the recursion,*

$$(3.6) \qquad t_{n+1} = -(b_1 t_n + b_2 t_{n-1} + \cdots + b_q t_{n-q+1}), \qquad n \geq \max(p, q).$$

Proof. From (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\left(\frac{t_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^{-n}\right)(1 + b_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + b_q z^{-q}) = a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + a_p z^{-p}.$$

The proof is completed by comparing the coefficients of the above equation. □

With Lemma 1, the number of outliers of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$ can be determined by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let T_N be an $N \times N$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$ has asymptotically at most $2\max(p, q)$ nonzero eigenvalues (outliers).*

Proof. For $N = 2M$, we define a matrix

$$\Delta E_N = \begin{bmatrix} t_N & t_{N-1} & t_{N-2} & \cdots & t_3 & t_2 & t_1 \\ t_{N+1} & t_N & t_{N-1} & \cdots & t_4 & t_3 & t_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{N+M-1} & t_{N+M-2} & t_{N+M-3} & \cdots & t_{M+2} & t_{M+1} & t_M \\ t_M & t_{M+1} & t_{M+2} & \cdots & t_{N+M-3} & t_{N+M-2} & t_{N+M-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_2 & t_3 & t_4 & \cdots & t_{N-1} & t_N & t_{N+1} \\ t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_{N-2} & t_{N-1} & t_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since elements t_n in ΔE_N satisfies (3.6), there are only $\max(p, q)$ independent rows in the upper half and the lower half of ΔE_N, respectively. Therefore, the rank of ΔE_N is at most $2\max(p, q)$. Let $\Delta P_N = \Delta E_N - \Delta T_N$,

$$\Delta P_N = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta G_M & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta G_M^T \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Delta G_M = \Delta G_{1,M} - \Delta G_{2,M},$$

and where $\Delta G_{1,M}$ and $\Delta G_{2,M}$ are $M \times M$ lower triangular Toeplitz matrices with the following first columns,

$$\Delta G_{1,M} : \quad [0, t_{N+1}, t_{N+2}, \cdots, t_{N+M-2}, t_{N+M-1}],$$
$$\Delta G_{2,M} : \quad [0, t_{N-1}, t_{N-2}, \cdots, t_{M+2}, t_{M+1}].$$

It is easy to verify that the l_1 and l_∞ norms of ΔP_N are both less than

$$\tau = \sum_{n=M+1}^{N+M-1} |t_n|.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\Delta P_N\|_2 \leq (\|\Delta P_N\|_1 \|\Delta P_N\|_\infty)^{1/2} \leq \tau.$$

Since that τ goes to zero as N goes to infinity due to (2.4), and that the eigenvalues of T_N^{-1} are bounded due to (2.5), the spectra of $T_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$ and $T_N^{-1}\Delta E_N$ are asymptotically equivalent. It follows that both $T_N^{-1}\Delta E_N$ and $T_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$ has at most $2\max(p,q)$ nonzero eigenvalues asymptotically. The case with odd N can be proved in a similar way with a slightly modified ΔE_N. $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 2, $T_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$ has at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues converging to 0 as the problem size N becomes large. For the rest of this section and Section 4, we will study the clustering property of these eigenvalues. Our approach is outlined as follows. First, we associate ΔT_N with some appropriate rational generating function $\tilde{T}(z) = \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) + \tilde{T}_+(z)$. The forms of $\tilde{T}_+(z^{-1})$ for $p \leq q$ and $p > q$ are given in Lemmas 3 and 4, respectively. We then transform the generalized eigenvalue problem involving $T_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$ into another generalized eigenvalue problem involving $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$. We show that Q_N and ΔQ_N are nearly banded Toeplitz matrices in Lemma 5 and examine the spectral property of $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$ in Lemma 6.

Since T_N is a symmetric rational Toeplitz matrix, and the elements of ΔT_N are those of T_N with reverse ordering, it is not surprising that ΔT_N can also be generated by a certain rational function, which will be determined below. Let us use the elements t_n of a given T_N with $N > \max(p,q)$ to construct a new sequence $\tilde{t}_n$. The cases $p \leq q$ and $p > q$ are considered separately.

Case 1: $p \leq q$.
We choose

$$(3.7) \qquad \tilde{t}_n = \begin{cases} t_{N-n}, & 0 \leq n \leq q-1, \\ -(\sum_{k=1}^{q} b_{q-k}\tilde{t}_{n-k})/b_q, & q \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Note that elements $\tilde{t}_n$ above with $n \geq q$ are obtained based on the recursion (3.6) examined from the reverse direction.

Case 2: $p > q$.
We decompose $T_+(z^{-1})$ into

$$(3.8) \qquad T_+(z^{-1}) = F_+(z^{-1}) + T_{1,+}(z^{-1}),$$

where

$$(3.8a) \qquad F_+(z^{-1}) = f_0 + f_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + f_{p-q} z^{-(p-q)}$$

and

$$(3.8b) \qquad T_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{A'(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} = \frac{a_0' + a_1' z^{-1} + \cdots + a_s' z^{-s}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + b_q z^{-q}}, \quad s < q.$$

Let $t_{1,n}$ be the generating sequence of $T_{1,+}(z^{-1})$. There exists a simple relationship between the elements of generating sequences for $T_+(z^{-1})$ and $T_{1,+}(z^{-1})$, i.e.,

$$t_n = \begin{cases} t_{1,n} + f_n, & 0 \leq n \leq p-q, \\ t_{1,n}, & n > p-q. \end{cases}$$

With respect to $T_{1,+}(z^{-1})$ and $F_+(z^{-1})$, we choose the corresponding $\tilde{t}_{1,n}$ and $\tilde{t}_{2,n}$, respectively, as

$$\tilde{t}_{1,n} = \begin{cases} t_{1,N-n}, & 0 \leq n \leq q-1, \\ -(\sum_{k=1}^{q} b_{q-k}\tilde{t}_{1,n-k})/b_q, & q \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{t}_{2,n} = \begin{cases} f_{N-n}, & N-p+q \leq n \leq N, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, we define

$$(3.9) \qquad\qquad \tilde{i}_n = \tilde{i}_{1,n} + \tilde{i}_{2,n}.$$

We associate the sequence $\tilde{i}_n$ given by (3.7) or (3.9) with a sequence of symmetric Toeplitz matrices $\tilde{T}_m$. It is straightforward to verify that

$$\tilde{T}_N = \Delta T_N \quad \text{with} \quad N > \max(p, q).$$

The generating function for matrices $\tilde{T}_m$ is

$$\tilde{T}(z) = \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) + \tilde{T}_+(z), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{\tilde{i}_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{i}_n z^{-n}.$$

The forms of $\tilde{T}_+(z^{-1})$ with $p \leq q$ and $p > q$ are described, respectively, in Lemmas 3 and 4.

LEMMA 3. *If T_N is generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and $p \leq q < N$, then ΔT_N is generated by $\tilde{T}(z)$ with*

$$(3.10) \qquad \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{C(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})} = \frac{c_0 + c_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + c_q z^{-q}}{1 + d_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + d_q z^{-q}},$$

where

$$(3.10a) \qquad d_i = \begin{cases} b_q^{-1} b_{q-i}, & 0 \leq i \leq q, \\ 0, & i > q, \end{cases} \qquad c_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{i} d_j \tilde{i}'_{i-j}, & 0 \leq i \leq q, \\ 0 & i > q, \end{cases}$$

and where

$$(3.10b) \qquad \tilde{i}'_n = \begin{cases} \tilde{i}_n & n \neq 0 \\ \frac{\tilde{i}_0}{2} & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{i}_n$ given by (3.7).

Proof. By (3.7), the sequence $\tilde{i}_n$ satisfies the recursion

$$(3.11) \qquad \tilde{i}_k = -(d_1 \tilde{i}_{k-1} + d_2 \tilde{i}_{k-2} + \cdots + d_q \tilde{i}_{k-q}), \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq q,$$

with d_i given by (3.10a). Let us define $G_k(z^{-k})$, for $k \geq q$, as

$$G_k(z^{-k}) = (\tilde{i}_k + d_1 \tilde{i}_{k-1} + d_2 \tilde{i}_{k-2} + \cdots + d_q \tilde{i}_{k-q}) z^{-k}.$$

It is evident from (3.11) that $G_k(z^{-k}) = 0$ for $k \geq q$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + d_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + d_q z^{-q}) \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} (\sum_{j=0}^{i} d_j \tilde{i}'_{i-j}) z^{-i} + \sum_{q}^{\infty} G_k(z^{-k}) \\ &= c_0 + c_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + c_q z^{-q} \end{aligned}$$

with c_i and $\tilde{i}'_i$ defined in (3.10a) and (3.10b), respectively. This completes the proof. $\qquad \square$

LEMMA 4. *If T_N is generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and $q < p < N$, then ΔT_N is generated by $\tilde{T}(z)$ with*

$$(3.12) \qquad \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{C(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})} + F_+(z) z^{-N},$$

where

$$(3.12a) \qquad d_i = \begin{cases} b_q^{-1} b_{q-i}, & 0 \leq i \leq q, \\ 0, & i > q, \end{cases} \qquad c_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{i} d_j \tilde{i}'_{i-j}, & 0 \leq i \leq q, \\ 0 & i > q, \end{cases}$$

and where

$$(3.12b) \qquad \tilde{\imath}'_n = \begin{cases} \tilde{\imath}_n & n \neq 0 \\ \frac{\tilde{\imath}_0}{2} & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{\imath}_n$ given by (3.9).

Proof. Due to (3.8), we can express $\tilde{T}_+(z^{-1})$ as

$$\tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \tilde{F}_+(z^{-1}) + \tilde{T}_{1,+}(z^{-1}),$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_+(z^{-1}) = \sum_{n=N-p+q}^{N} f_{N-n} z^{-n}, \qquad \tilde{T}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{\tilde{\imath}_{1,0}}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\imath}_{1,n} z^{-n}.$$

It is clear from Lemma 3 and (3.8a) that

$$\tilde{F}_+(z^{-1}) = F_+(z)z^{-N}, \qquad \tilde{T}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{C(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})}.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. $\quad\square$

We can rewrite (3.12) to be

$$(3.13) \qquad \tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{C_1(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})}, \quad \text{with} \quad C_1(z^{-1}) = C(z^{-1}) + D(z^{-1})F_+(z)z^{-N}.$$

Applying the isomorphism to (3.10) or (3.13) and focusing on the leading $N \times N$ blocks of the corresponding matrices, we obtain

$$\tilde{T}_N = L_c L_d^{-1} + U_c U_d^{-1},$$

where L_c (or U_c) is an $N \times N$ lower (or upper) triangular Toeplitz matrix with the first N coefficients of $C(z)$ ($p \leq q$) or $C_1(z)$ ($p > q$) as its first column (or row). Matrices L_d and U_d are defined similarly. Since $\Delta T_N = \tilde{T}_N$, we obtain

$$(3.14) \qquad \Delta T_N = L_c L_d^{-1} + U_c U_d^{-1}.$$

4. Spectral properties of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$. With the results given by (3.5) and (3.14), we then transform the generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$(4.1) \qquad \Delta T_N \mathbf{x} = \lambda T_N \mathbf{x},$$

to an equivalent generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$(4.2) \qquad \Delta Q_N \mathbf{y} = \lambda Q_N \mathbf{y},$$

where

$$(4.2a) \qquad Q_N = L_b T_N U_b = L_a U_b + L_b U_a,$$

and

$$(4.2b) \qquad \Delta Q_N = L_b \Delta T_N U_b = L_b L_c L_d^{-1} U_b + L_b U_c U_d^{-1} U_b.$$

It is clear that (4.1) and (4.2) have identical eigenvalues and their eigenvectors are related via $\mathbf{x} = U_b \mathbf{y}$. The reason of transforming the generalized eigenvalue problem of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$ to that of $Q_N^{-1} \Delta Q_N$ is that Q_N and ΔQ_N are nearly banded Toeplitz matrices which can be analyzed more easily. The properties of matrices Q_N and ΔQ_N are characterized below.

LEMMA 5. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). The southeast $(N - \max(p,q)) \times (N - \max(p,q))$ blocks of Q_N and ΔQ_N are symmetric banded Toeplitz matrices with generating functions*

(4.3) $$Q(z) = A(z^{-1})B(z) + B(z^{-1})A(z),$$

and

(4.4) $$\Delta Q(z) = b_q z^q C(z^{-1})B(z^{-1}) + b_q z^{-q}C(z)B(z),$$

respectively.

Proof. Consider two Toeplitz matrices F_N and G_N of size $N \times N$, where F_N is a lower triangular Toeplitz matrix with lower bandwidth r and the generating function $F(z)$, G_N an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix with upper bandwidth s and the generating function $G(z^{-1})$. It is easy to verify that the product $F_N G_N$, except its northwest $r \times s$ block, is a banded Toeplitz matrix with the lower bandwidth r, upper bandwidth s and generating function $F(z)G(z^{-1})$. We generalize the above result to $Q_N = L_a U_b + L_b U_a$ and find that the southeast $(N - \max(p,q)) \times (N - \max(p,q))$ block of Q_N is a symmetric banded Toeplitz matrix with the generating function

$$Q(z) = A(z^{-1})B(z) + B(z^{-1})A(z).$$

Since the product of lower (or upper) triangular Toeplitz matrices is commutative, we can rewrite (4.2b) as

$$\Delta Q_N = \Delta Q_{1,N} + \Delta Q_{1,N}^T \qquad \text{where} \qquad \Delta Q_{1,N} = L_b L_c L_d^{-1} U_b.$$

When $p \leq q$, the product $L_b L_c L_d^{-1}$ results in a lower triangular Toeplitz matrix with the generating function $B(z)C(z)D^{-1}(z)$. The matrix $\Delta Q_{1,N}$, except the first q columns, is a Toeplitz matrix with the generating function

$$\Delta Q_{1,N}(z) = B(z)C(z)D^{-1}(z)B(z^{-1}).$$

We can use (3.10a) to relate $D(z)$ with $B(z^{-1})$, i.e.

$$D(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{q} d_n z^n = b_q^{-1} z^q \sum_{n=0}^{q} b_{q-n} z^{-(q-n)} = b_q^{-1} z^q B(z^{-1}).$$

Thus, $\Delta Q_{1,N}(z) = B(z)C(z)b_q z^{-q}$. Similarly, $\Delta Q_{1,N}^T$, except the first q rows, is a Toeplitz matrix with the generating function $\Delta Q_{1,N}(z^{-1})$. Therefore, the southeast $(N - q) \times (N - q)$ block of ΔQ_N is a symmetric banded Toelitz matrix with the generating function

$$\Delta Q(z) = \Delta Q_{1,N}(z) + \Delta Q_{1,N}(z^{-1}) = b_q \left(z^q B(z^{-1})C(z^{-1}) + z^{-q} B(z)C(z)\right),$$

where the coefficients of $B(z)$ and $C(z)$ are given in Lemma 3.

When $p > q$, the generating function of matrix L_c is $C_1(z)$ in (3.13). Consequently, $\Delta Q_{1,N}$, except the first q columns, is a Toeplitz matrix with the generating function

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_{1,N}(z) &= B(z)C_1(z)D^{-1}(z)B(z^{-1}) \\ &= B(z)C(z)D^{-1}(z)B(z^{-1}) + z^N B(z)F_+(z^{-1})B(z^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

with the coefficients of $F_+(z^{-1})$ given in (3.8a). Recall that the orders of polynomials $B(z^{-1})$ and $F_+(z^{-1})$ are q and $p - q$, respectively. The lowest order of the polynomial $z^N B(z)F_+(z^{-1})B(z^{-1})$ is $N - p$, and the elements of the leading $N \times N$ Toeplitz matrix generated by $z^N B(z)F_+(z^{-1})B(z^{-1})$ are zeros except the southwest p diagonals. Therefore, the matrix $\Delta Q_{1,N}$, except the first q columns and the southwest p diagonals, is a Toeplitz matrix with the generating function

$$\Delta Q_{1,N}(z) = B(z)C(z)D^{-1}(z)B(z^{-1}).$$

Then, it follows that the southeast $(N-p) \times (N-p)$ block of ΔQ_N is a symmetric banded Toeplitz matrix with the generating function

$$\Delta Q(z) = b_q \left(z^q B(z^{-1})C(z^{-1}) + z^{-q}B(z)C(z) \right),$$

where the coefficients of $B(z^{-1})$ and $C(z^{-1})$ are given in Lemma 4. The proof is completed. $\square$

The following lemma gives the bound of the clustered eigenvalues of $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$.

LEMMA 6. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrices generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). Then, $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$ has at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues with magnitude bounded by*

$$(4.5) \qquad \epsilon = \max_{z=e^{-i2\pi n/N}} \left| \frac{\Delta Q(z)}{Q(z)} \right|.$$

Proof. Let us denote the southeast $(N - \max(p,q)) \times (N - \max(p,q))$ blocks of Q_N and ΔQ_N by $Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ and $\Delta Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$, respectively. By the minimax theorem (or *Courant-Fisher Theorem*) of eigenvalues [20], [24], there are at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues of $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$ bounded by the maximum and the minimum eigenvalues of $Q_{N-\max(p,q)}^{-1}\Delta Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$.

It is clear from Lemma 5 that $Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ and $\Delta Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ are symmetric banded Toeplitz matrices with bandwidth $\leq \max(p,q)$. We can construct two $N \times N$ symmetric circulant matrices $\mathcal{R}_N$ and $\Delta\mathcal{R}_N$ with $Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ and $\Delta Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ as their leading principal submatrices, respectively. By the separation theorem (or intertwining theorem) of eigenvalues [20], [24], we know that the eigenvalues of $Q_{N-\max(p,q)}^{-1}\Delta Q_{N-\max(p,q)}$ are bounded by the maximun and the minimun eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{R}_N$. It is well known that the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}\Delta\mathcal{R}_N$ are

$$\Delta Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N})/Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N}), \qquad n = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. $\square$

We then focus on the bound of (4.5). By using (3.1) and (3.3), we can further simplify $\Delta Q(z)/Q(z)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q(z)/Q(z) &= [b_q z^q B(z^{-1})C(z^{-1}) + b_q z^{-q}B(z)C(z)]/[B(z^{-1})B(z)T(z)] \\
(4.6) \qquad &= [b_q z^q C(z^{-1})]/[B(z)T(z)] + [b_q z^{-q}C(z)]/[B(z^{-1})T(z)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T(e^{i\theta}) = A(e^{-i\theta})/B(e^{-i\theta}) + A(e^{i\theta})/B(e^{i\theta})$, and $|T(e^{i\theta})|$ is finite from (2.4), $|B(e^{i\theta})|$ is uniformly positive, i.e.

$$(4.7) \qquad |B(e^{i\theta})| \geq \beta > 0.$$

Combining (2.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$(4.8) \qquad \left| \frac{\Delta Q(e^{-i\theta})}{Q(e^{-i\theta})} \right| \leq \left| \frac{2b_q C(e^{-i\theta})}{\beta \delta} \right|,$$

with arbitrary θ.

We then focus our discussion on the bound of $|b_q C(e^{-i\theta})|$. First, we have

$$(4.9) \qquad |b_q C(e^{-i\theta})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q} |b_q c_i| = \sum_{i=0}^{q} |\sum_{j=o}^{i} b_q d_j \tilde{t}_{i-j}'| = \sum_{i=0}^{q} |\sum_{j=o}^{i} b_{q-j} t_{N-i+j}|,$$

where the last equality is due to (3.7), (3.10a) and (3.10b). Since t_n satisfies the recursion (3.6), we can use the equality

$$\sum_{j=o}^{q} b_{q-j} t_{N-i+j} = 0 \qquad \text{if } N > \max(p,q).$$

to simplify (4.9), i.e.

$$|b_q C(e^{-i\theta})| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q} |-\sum_{j=i+1}^{q} b_{q-j} t_{N-i+j}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q} \sum_{j=i+1}^{q} |b_{q-j}||t_{N-i+j}|,$$

(4.10)
$$\leq \max_{N \leq n \leq N+q} |t_n| \sum_{i=0}^{q} \sum_{j=i+1}^{q} |b_{q-j}|.$$

Furhtermore, the term $\sum_{i=0}^{q} \sum_{j=i+1}^{q} |b_{q-j}|$, can be bounded by

(4.11)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{q} \sum_{j=i+1}^{q} |b_{q-j}| < \sum_{i=0}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{q} |b_{q-j}| < (q+1) \sum_{j=0}^{q} |b_j| < (q+1)2^q,$$

where the last inequality is due to the following Lemma.

LEMMA 7. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrices generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5), then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} |b_j| < 2^q.$$

Proof. Since $B(z^{-1})$ is a polynomial in z of order q, we can factorize $B(z^{-1})$ as

(4.12)
$$B(z^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} b_i z^{-i} = (1 - r_1 z^{-1})(1 - r_2 z^{-1}) \cdots (1 - r_q z^{-1}),$$

where r_i, $1 \leq i \leq q$, are poles of $T_+(z^{-1})$. A direct consequence of (2.4) is that all poles of $T_+(z^{-1})$ should lie inside the unit circle, i.e. $|r_i| < 1$, $1 \leq i \leq q$. It is clear from (4.12) that

$$|b_k| \leq \binom{q}{k} (\max |r_i|)^k < \binom{q}{k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \binom{q}{k} \equiv \frac{q!}{(q-k)! \, k!}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q} |b_j| < \sum_{j=0}^{q} \binom{q}{j} = 2^q. \qquad \square$$

Combining (4.8), (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$\max_n |\Delta Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N})/Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N})| < \frac{2^{q+1}(q+1)}{\beta\delta} \max_{N \leq n \leq N+q} |t_n|.$$

Since $|r_i| < 1$, $1 \leq i \leq q$, t_n is monotonously decreasing and

$$\max_{N \leq n \leq N+q} |t_n| = |t_N|.$$

for sufficiently large N. Thus,

(4.13)
$$\max_n |\Delta Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N})/Q(e^{-i2\pi n/N})| < \frac{2^{q+1}(q+1)|t_N|}{\beta\delta} = \epsilon_K.$$

By Lemma 6, there are at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues of $Q_N^{-1} \Delta Q_N$ with magnitude bounded by ϵ_K in (4.13). Since eigenvalues of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$ are equivalent to those of $Q_N^{-1} \Delta Q_N$, there are at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues of $T_N^{-1} \Delta T_N$ with magnitude bounded by ϵ_K as well. When ϵ_K is small enough, there are at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$, $i = 1,2,3,4$, clustered between

$(1 - \epsilon_K, 1 + \epsilon_K)$ for sufficiently large N. We summarize the analysis in this section into the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). Asymptotically, the spectra of the preconditioned Toeplitz matrices $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, have the following two properties:*

P1: The number of outliers is at most $2\max(p, q)$.

P2: There are at least $N - 2\max(p, q)$ eigenvalues lie between $(1 - \epsilon_K, 1 + \epsilon_K)$, where ϵ_K is given by (4.13). □

5. Discussion on Strang's preconditioners.

We will adopt a procedure similar to that described in Sections 3 and 4 to examine the spectral properties of $S_N^{-1}T_N$, where S_N is Strang's preconditioner. Only the case where $p \leq q$ and N is even will be discussed. Since the analysis for the cases where $p > q$ or N is odd can be performed in a straightforward way, it is omitted to avoid unnecessary repetition.

Recall that Strang's preconditioner S_N is obtained by preserving the central half diagonals of T_N and using them to form a circulant matrix. That is, when $N = 2M$, S_N is defined as a symmetric Toeplitz matrix with the first row

$$S_N : \quad [t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_{M-1}, t_M, t_{M-1}, \cdots, t_1].$$

Let us denote the difference between S_N and T_N by ΔS_N, i.e.

$$\Delta S_N = S_N - T_N.$$

The number of outliers of $S_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$ can be determined by the following lemma.

LEMMA 8. *Let T_N be an $N \times N$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). $T_N^{-1}\Delta S_N$ has asymptotically at most $2\max(p, q)$ nonzero eigenvalues (outliers).*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2. We use

$$\Delta E_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta F_M \\ \Delta F_M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

to approximate ΔS_N, where

$$\Delta F_M = \begin{bmatrix}
t_M & t_{M-1} & t_{M-2} & \cdots & t_3 & t_2 & t_1 \\
t_{M+1} & t_M & t_{M-1} & \cdots & \cdot & t_3 & t_2 \\
t_{M+2} & t_{M+1} & t_M & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & t_3 \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
t_{N-3} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & t_M & t_{M-1} & t_{M-2} \\
t_{N-2} & t_{N-3} & \cdot & \cdots & t_{M+1} & t_M & t_{M-1} \\
t_{N-1} & t_{N-2} & t_{N-3} & \cdots & t_{M+2} & t_{M+1} & t_M
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Since elements t_n in ΔF_M satisfies the recursion described in Lemma 1, there are only $\max(p, q)$ independent rows in ΔF_M. Therefore, the rank of ΔE_N is at most $2\max(p, q)$. Let us define $\Delta P_N = \Delta E_N - \Delta S_N$. Then, we find that

$$\Delta P_N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta G_M \\ \Delta G_M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where ΔG_M is a symmetric Toeplitz matrix with the first row

$$\Delta G_M : \quad [t_M, t_{M+1}, t_{M+2}, \cdots, t_{N-3}, t_{N-2}, t_{N-1}].$$

It is easy to verify that, for sufficiently large N, the l_1 and l_∞ norms of ΔP_N are both less than

$$\tau = 2 \sum_{n=M}^{\lceil 3M/2 \rceil} |t_n|.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\Delta P_N\|_2 \le (\|\Delta P_N\|_1 \|\Delta P_N\|_\infty)^{1/2} \le \tau.$$

Since that τ goes to zero as M goes to infinity due to (2.4), and that the eigenvalues of T_N^{-1} are bounded due to (2.5), the spectra of $T_N^{-1}\Delta S_N$ and $T_N^{-1}\Delta E_N$ are asymptotically equivalent. It follows that both $T_N^{-1}\Delta E_N$ and $T_N^{-1}\Delta S_N$ have at most $2\max(p,q)$ nonzero eigenvalues, asymptotically. The case with odd N can be proved in a similar way with a slightly modified ΔE_N. $\qquad\square$

The matrix ΔS_N can be expressed as

$$\Delta S_N = \Delta S_{1,N} - \Delta S_{2,N},$$

where

$$\Delta S_{1,N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{1,M} \\ F_{1,M}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta S_{2,N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{2,M} \\ F_{2,M}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and where both $F_{1,M}$ and $F_{2,M}$ are $M \times M$ upper triangular Toeplitz matrices with the following first rows,

$$F_{1,M}: \qquad [t_M, t_{M-1}, t_{M-2}, \cdots, t_2, t_1],$$
$$F_{2,M}: \qquad [t_M, t_{M+1}, t_{M+2}, \cdots, t_{N-2}, t_{N-1}].$$

We use t_n, which satisfies (3.6), to construct two new sequences,

$$(5.1)\ \tilde{s}_{1,n} = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le n \le M-1, \\ t_{N-n}, & M \le n \le M+q-1, \\ -(\sum_{k=1}^q b_{q-k}\tilde{t}_{n-k})/b_q, & M+q \le n, \end{cases} \qquad \tilde{s}_{2,n} = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le n \le M-1, \\ t_n, & M \le n, \end{cases}$$

and associate $\tilde{s}_{1,n}$ and $\tilde{s}_{2,n}$ with two sequences of symmetric Toeplitz matrices $\tilde{S}_{1,m}$ and $\tilde{S}_{2,m}$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$, whose generating functions are defined as

$$\tilde{S}_1(z) = \tilde{S}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) + \tilde{S}_{1,+}(z), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{S}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{\tilde{s}_{1,0}}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{s}_{1,n}z^{-n},$$

and

$$\tilde{S}_2(z) = \tilde{S}_{2,+}(z^{-1}) + \tilde{S}_{2,+}(z), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{S}_{2,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{\tilde{s}_{2,0}}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{s}_{2,n}z^{-n},$$

respectively. One can easily verify that

$$\tilde{S}_{1,N} = \Delta S_{1,N} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}_{2,N} = \Delta S_{2,N}, \quad \text{with} \quad N > 2\max(p,q).$$

Then, by using the same approach for proving Lemma 3, we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. *If T_N is generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), then*

$$(5.2a) \qquad \tilde{S}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-M}\tilde{C}(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})} = \frac{\tilde{c}_0 + \tilde{c}_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + \tilde{c}_q z^{-q}}{1 + d_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + d_q z^{-q}},$$

and

$$(5.2b) \qquad \tilde{S}_{2,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-M}\tilde{A}(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} = \frac{\tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + \tilde{a}_q z^{-q}}{1 + b_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + b_q z^{-q}},$$

where the coefficients b_i and d_i are given by (3.3) and (3.8), and

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^i b_j t_{M+i-j}, & 0 \le i \le q, \\ 0 & i > q, \end{cases} \qquad \tilde{c}_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^i d_j \tilde{s}_{1,M+i-j}, & 0 \le i \le q, \\ 0 & i > q, \end{cases}$$

with $\tilde{s}_{1,n}$ given by (5.1). □

Thus, $\triangle S_N$ can be decomposed into

$$(5.3) \qquad \triangle S_N = \triangle S_{1,N} - \triangle S_{2,N} = L_{\tilde{c}}L_d^{-1} + U_{\tilde{c}}U_d^{-1} - L_{\tilde{a}}L_b^{-1} - U_{\tilde{a}}U_b^{-1}.$$

where $L_{\tilde{c}}$ (or $U_{\tilde{c}}$) is an $N \times N$ lower (or upper) triangular Toeplitz matrix with the first N coefficients of $z^M \tilde{C}(z)$ as its first column (or row), and matrices $L_{\tilde{a}}$, L_b and L_d (or $U_{\tilde{a}}$, U_b and U_d) are similarly defined with respect to $z^M \tilde{A}(z)$, $B(z)$ and $D(z)$, respectively.

By using the decomposition formulas (3.5) and (5.3), we transform the generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$(5.4) \qquad \triangle S_N \mathbf{x} = \lambda T_N \mathbf{x},$$

into another generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$(5.5) \qquad \triangle Q_{S,N} \mathbf{y} = \lambda Q_N \mathbf{y},$$

where

$$Q_N = L_b T_N U_b = L_a U_b + L_b U_a,$$
$$\triangle Q_{S,N} = L_b \triangle S_N U_b = (L_b L_{\tilde{c}} L_d^{-1} U_b + L_b U_{\tilde{c}} U_d^{-1} U_b) - (L_{\tilde{a}} U_b + L_b U_{\tilde{a}}).$$

The systems (5.4) and (5.5) have the same eigenvalues and their eigenvectors are related via $\mathbf{x} = U_b \mathbf{y}$.

The matrix $\triangle Q_{S,N}$ is a nearly banded Toeplitz matrix characterized by the following lemma.

LEMMA 10. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). The southeast $(N - \max(p,q)) \times (N - \max(p,q))$ block of $\triangle Q_{S,N}$ is a symmetric banded Toeplitz matrix with generating function,*

$$(5.6) \qquad \triangle Q_S(z) = B(z^{-1})\tilde{S}(z)B(z) = \triangle Q_{S,1}(z) - \triangle Q_{S,2}(z),$$

where

$$\triangle Q_{S,1}(z) = b_q z^{-(M-q)} B(z^{-1}) \tilde{C}(z^{-1}) + b_q z^{M-q} B(z) \tilde{C}(z),$$
$$\triangle Q_{S,2}(z) = z^{-M} \tilde{A}(z^{-1}) B(z) + z^M B(z^{-1}) \tilde{A}(z).$$

Since the generating sequence t_n of T_N satisfies conditions (2.4) and (2.5), we can use arguments given in the previous section and obtain

$$\left| \frac{\triangle Q_{S,1}(e^{-i\theta})}{Q(e^{-i\theta})} \right| \leq \frac{2^{q+1}(q+1)|t_M|}{\beta\delta} = \epsilon',$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\triangle Q_{S,2}(e^{-i\theta})}{Q(e^{-i\theta})} \right| \leq \frac{2^{q+1}(q+1)|t_M|}{\beta\delta} = \epsilon',$$

for arbitrary θ. By using arguments similar to those in Lemma 6, we can derive that $T_N^{-1}\triangle S_N$ has at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ eigenvalues bounded by

$$(5.7) \qquad \epsilon_S = 2\epsilon' = \frac{2^{q+2}(q+1)|t_{N/2}|}{\beta\delta},$$

for sufficiently large N. The analysis in this section is concluded by the following Theorem.

THEOREM 5. *Let T_m be a sequence of $m \times m$ symmetric Toeplitz matrix generated by $T(z)$ with $T_+(z^{-1})$ given by (3.3), and the corresponding generating sequence satisfies (2.4) and (2.5). Asymptotically, the spectrum of the preconditioned Toeplitz matrix $S_N^{-1} T_N$ has the following two properties:*

P1: The number of outliers is at most $2\max(p,q)$.

P2: There are at least $N - 2\max(p,q)$ *eigenvalues lie between* $(1 - \epsilon_S, 1 + \epsilon_S)$, *where* ϵ_S *is given by (5.7).*

Let us compare the preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ and S_N. From Theorems 4 and 5, the spectra of $K_{i,N}^{-1}T_N$ and $S_N^{-1}T_N$ have the same number of outliers, and the other eigenvalues are clustered around 1 within radii ϵ_K and ϵ_S given by (4.13) and (5.7), respectively. It is clear that the parameters q, β and δ are independent of the problem size N and that the terms $|t_N|$ and $|t_{N/2}|$ determine the asymptotical convergence rate of the PCG method. For sufficiently large N, we have

$$O(\epsilon_K) = O(\epsilon_S^2).$$

This implies that, after the first several iterations which eliminate the outliers, the residual reduced by one iteration of the PCG method with preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ is about the same as that reduced by two iterations of the PCG method with preconditioner S_N. This has been confirmed in numerical experiments reported in [15].

6. The special case with geometric generating sequences. It has been observed from numerical experiments [15] [21] that the eigenvalues of $K_{1,N}^{-1}T_N$ and $S_N^{-1}T_N$ with T_N generated by the geometric sequence $t_n = t^n$, $|t| < 1$, are very regular. The observations are summarized as follows.

R1: The eigenvalues of $K_{1,N}^{-1}T_N$ are $(1+t)^{-1}$, $(1-t)^{-1}$ and $(1-t^N)^{-1}$ with multiplicities 1, 1 and $N - 2$, respectively.

R2: When N is even $(N = 2M)$, the eigenvalues of $S_N^{-1}T_N$ are $(1+t)^{-1}$, $(1-t)^{-1}$, 1, $(1+t^M)^{-1}$ and $(1-t^M)^{-1}$ with multiplicities 1, 1, 2, $M - 2$ and $M - 2$, respectively.

In this section, we provide an analytical approach to explain these two regularities.

First, we examine the preconditioner $K_{1,N}$. For the generating sequence $t_n = t^n$, its generating function is

$$T(z) = T_+(z^{-1}) + T_+(z), \quad \text{where} \quad T_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{A(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} = \frac{0.5 + 0.5tz^{-1}}{1 - tz^{-1}},$$

so that the order (p,q) of $T_+(z^{-1})$ is $(1,1)$. From Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\tilde{T}_+(z^{-1}) = \frac{C(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})} = \frac{t^N(0.5 + 0.5t^{-1}z^{-1})}{1 - t^{-1}z^{-1}},$$

which is related to $\Delta T_N = K_{1,N} - T_N$. By using (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$(6.1) \qquad Q(z) = A(z^{-1})B(z) + B(z^{-1})A(z) = 1 - t^2,$$

and

$$(6.2) \qquad \Delta Q(z) = -t[zB(z^{-1})C(z^{-1}) + z^{-1}B(z)C(z)] = -t^N(1 - t^2).$$

Note that $q = 1$ and $b_q = -t$ are used in deriving (6.2). Due to (6.1) and (6.2), the southeast $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ blocks of Q_N and ΔQ_N are identity matrices multiplied by the constants $1 - t^2$ and $-t^N(1 - t^2)$, respectively. Consider the following linear combination of Q_N and ΔQ_N,

$$V_N = \Delta Q_N + t^N Q_N.$$

It is clear that the southeast $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ block of V_N is a zero matrix. Since the first two columns are linearly independent, and any two columns of the last $N - 1$ columns of V_N are linearly depedent, V_N has a null space of dimension $N-2$. This implies that $Q_N^{-1}\Delta Q_N$, or equivalently, $T_N^{-1}\Delta T_N$, has the eigenvalue $-t^N$ with multiplicity $N - 2$. Therefore, $(T_N + \Delta T_N)^{-1}T_N = K_{1,N}^{-1}T_N$ has the eigenvalue $(1 - t^N)^{-1}$ with multiplicity $N - 2$.

To determine the remaining two eigenvalues, i.e. outliers, we will use the technique described in [4] to transform the problem $\Delta T_N x = \lambda T_N x$ to another equivalent problem. Consider the case with even

N $(N = 2M)$. Since that ΔT_N and T_N are both symmetric Toeplitz matrices, they can be expressed in the following block matrix form,

$$\Delta T_N = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta T_{1,M} & \Delta T_{2,M}^T \\ \Delta T_{2,M} & \Delta T_{1,M} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad T_N = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1,M} & T_{2,M}^T \\ T_{2,M} & T_{1,M} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let W_N be the orthonormal matrix

$$W_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_M & I_M \\ -J_M & J_M \end{bmatrix},$$

where I_M and J_M are $M \times M$ identity and symmetric elementary matrices, respectively. By using the transformation,

$$W_N^{-1} \Delta T_N W_N \mathbf{y} = \lambda W_N^{-1} T_N W_N \mathbf{y},$$

we obtain two decoupled subproblems,

(6.3) $$(\Delta T_{1,M} - J_M \Delta T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_- = \lambda_- (T_{1,M} - J_M T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_-,$$

(6.4) $$(\Delta T_{1,M} + J_M \Delta T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_+ = \lambda_+ (T_{1,M} + J_M T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_+,$$

where λ_- and λ_+ are also eigenvalues of the original problem $\Delta T_N \mathbf{x} = \lambda T_N \mathbf{x}$. Since the first rows of matrices on both sides of (6.3) are proportional by a constant $-t$, $\lambda_- = -t$ with $\mathbf{y}_- = \mathbf{e}_1$ (the unit vector with 1 at the first element) satisfies (6.3). Similarly, we can argue that $\lambda_+ = t$ with $\mathbf{y}_+ = \mathbf{e}_1$ are the eigenvalue-eigenvector pair for (6.4). Thus, $1/(1-t)$ and $1/(1+t)$ are two outliers of $(T_N + \Delta T_N)^{-1} T_N = K_{1,N}^{-1} T_N$. When N is odd, the same result can be derived with a slightly modified W_N given in [4].

By using the relationship among preconditioners $K_{i,N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, we can determine all eigenvalues of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$. They all have three distinct eigenvalues (two outliers and $N - 2$ clustered eigenvaues) summarized in the following table.

Table 1. Eigenvalues of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$

	$K_{1,N}^{-1} T_N$	$K_{2,N}^{-1} T_N$	$K_{3,N}^{-1} T_N$	$K_{4,N}^{-1} T_N$
λ_1	$(1+t)^{-1}$	$(1+t)^{-1}$	$(1+t)^{-1}$	$(1-t)^{-1}$
λ_2	$(1-t)^{-1}$	$(1-t)^{-1}$	$(1+t^N)^{-1}$	$(1+t^N)^{-1}$
λ_3	$(1-t^N)^{-1}$	$(1+t^N)^{-1}$	$(1-t^N)^{-1}$	$(1-t^N)^{-1}$

Next, we examine Strang's preconditioner S_N with even N. When $N = 2M$, the central two rows of $S_N - T_N$ are zeros. This implies that $S_N^{-1} T_N$ has the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity 2. By using (5.2a) and (5.2b), we have

$$\tilde{S}_{1,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-M} \tilde{C}(z^{-1})}{D(z^{-1})} = \frac{z^{-M} t^M}{1 - t^{-1} z^{-1}},$$

$$\tilde{S}_{2,+}(z^{-1}) = \frac{z^{-M} \tilde{A}(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})} = \frac{z^{-M} t^M}{1 - t z^{-1}},$$

respectively. By substituting $\tilde{A}(z)$, $B(z)$, $\tilde{C}(z)$ and $D(z)$ into (5.6) and using (6.1), we obtain

$$\Delta Q_S(z) = -t^M (z^{-M} + z^M)(1 - t^2).$$

Then, the nonzero elements of $\Delta Q_{S,N-1}$, which is the southeast $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ block of $\Delta Q_{S,N}$, only occur along the $\pm M$th diagonals and take the same value $-t^M(1-t^2)$. Consider the linear combination of $\Delta Q_{S,N}$ and Q_N,

$$V_{1,N} = \Delta Q_{S,N} + t^M Q_N.$$

By adding the $k + 1$th column to the $k + M + 1$th column of $V_{1,N}$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, (M - 1)$, we find that the southeast $(N - 1) \times (M - 1)$ block of the resulting matrix is the zero matrix. Consequently, any two columns of the last $M - 1$ columns of $V_{1,N}$ are linearly dependent. Besides, since the first $M + 2$ columns of $V_{1,N}$ are linearly independent, we conclude that $V_{1,N}$ has a null space of dimension $M - 2$ and that $Q_N^{-1} \Delta Q_{S,N}$ has the eigenvalue $-t^M$ with multiplicity $M - 2$. Similarly, we can show that

$$V_{2,N} = \Delta Q_{S,N} - t^M Q_N$$

has a null space of dimension $M - 2$ by substracting the $k + 1$th column from the $k + M + 1$th column of $V_{2,N}$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, (M - 1)$. Therefore, $Q_N^{-1} \Delta Q_{S,N}$ has the eigenvalue t^M with multiplicity $M - 2$. As a consequence, $S_N^{-1} T_N$ has the eigenvalues $(1 + t^M)^{-1}$ and $(1 - t^M)^{-1}$ with multiplicity $M - 2$.

To determine the remaining two eigenvalues of $S_N^{-1} T_N$, we use the same transformation discussed earlier and only have to consider the eigenvalues of the following two subproblems

$$(6.5) \qquad (T_{1,M} - J_M T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_- = \lambda_- (S_{1,M} - J_M S_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_-,$$

$$(6.6) \qquad (T_{1,M} + J_M T_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_+ = \lambda_+ (S_{1,M} + J_N S_{2,M}) \mathbf{y}_+,$$

where $S_{1,M}$ and $S_{2,M}$ are the northwest and southwest $M \times M$ blocks of S_N, respectively. Since the first rows of matrices on both sides of (6.5) is proportional by a constant $1 - t$, $\lambda_- = 1/(1 - t)$ with $\mathbf{y}_- = \mathbf{e}_1$ satisfies (6.5). Similarly, $\lambda_+ = 1/(1 + t)$ with $\mathbf{y}_+ = \mathbf{e}_1$ satisfies (6.6).

7. **Conclusion.** In this paper, we have proved several spectral properties of preconditioned rational Toeplitz matrices $P_N^{-1} T_N$ with the preconditioner S_N proposed by Strang [19] and the preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ proposed by the authors [15]. The asymptotic eigenvalues of $P_N^{-1} T_N$ are classfied into two classes, i.e. the outliers and clustered eigenvalues. The number of outliers depends on the order of the rational generating function. The clustered eigenvalues are confined in the interval $(1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$ with the radii $\epsilon_K = O(t_N)$ and $\epsilon_S = O(t_{N/2})$ for $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$ and $S_N^{-1} T_N$, respectively. When the symmetric Toeplitz matrix T_N is generated by the geometric sequence t^n with $|t| < 1$, the precise eigenvalue distributions of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$ and $S_{2M}^{-1} T_{2M}$ have been determined analytically. Since the eigenvalues of $K_{i,N}^{-1} T_N$ are more closely clustered than those of $S_N^{-1} T_N$, preconditioners $K_{i,N}$ are more efficient for solving rational Toeplitz systems.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Ammar and W. Gragg, *Superfast solution of real positive definite Toeplitz systems*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 9 (1988), pp. 61–76.

[2] R. Bitmead and B. Anderson, *Asymptotically fast solution of Toeplitz and related systems of equations*, Lin. Algeb. Appl., 34 (1980), pp. 103–116.

[3] R. Brent, F. Gustavson, and D. Yun, *Fast solution of Toeplitz systems of equations and computations of padé approximations*, J. Algorithms, 1 (1980), pp. 259–295.

[4] A. Cantoni and P. Butler, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices*, Lin. Algeb. Appl., 13 (1976), pp. 275–288.

[5] R. Chan, *Circulant preconditioners for Hermitian Toeplitz system*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 10 (1989), pp. 542–550.

[6] ———, *The spectrum of a family of circulant preconditioned Toeplitz systems*, SIAM J. Num. Anal., 26 (1989), pp. 503–506.

[7] R. Chan and G. Strang, *Toeplitz equations by conjugate gradients with circulant preconditioner*, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 10 (1989), pp. 104–119.

[8] T. Chan, *An optimal circulant preconditioner for Toeplitz systems*, SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., 9 (1988), pp. 766–771.

[9] P. Davis, *Circulant matrices*, Wiley, New York, 1979.

[10] P. DELSARTE AND Y. GENIN, *The split Levinson algorithm*, IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing, ASSP-34 (1986), pp. 470–478.

[11] B. DICKINSON, *Efficient solution of linear equations with banded Toeplitz matrices*, IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing, ASSP-27 (1979), pp. 421–422.

[12] ———, *Solution of linear equations with rational Toeplitz matrices*, Math. Comp., 34 (1980), pp. 227–233.

[13] F. D. HOOG, *A new algorithm for solving Toeplitz systems of equations*, Lin. Algeb. Appl., 88/89 (1987), pp. 123–138.

[14] T. HUCKLE, *Circulant and skew-circulant matrices for solving Toeplitz matrices problems*, in Cooper Mountain Conference on Iterative Methods, Cooper Mountain, Colorado, 1990.

[15] T. KU AND C. KUO, *Design and analysis of Toeplitz preconditioners*, Tech. Rep. 155, USC, Signal and Image Processing Institute, May 1990. To appear in IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing.

[16] N. LEVINSON, *The Wiener RMS error criterion in filter design and prediction*, J. Math. Phys., 25 (1947), pp. 261–278.

[17] H. MALVAR, *Fast computation of the discrete Cosine transform and the discrete Hartley transform*, IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing, ASSP-35 (1987), pp. 1484–1485.

[18] H. SORENSEN, D. JONES, M. HEIDEMAN, AND C. BURRUS, *Real-value fast Fourier transform algorithms*, IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Processing, ASSP-35 (1987), pp. 849–863.

[19] G. STRANG, *A proposal for Toeplitz matrix calculations*, Stud. Appl. Math., 74 (1986), pp. 171–176.

[20] ———, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Harcourt Brace Jonanovich, Inc., Orlando, Florida, third ed., 1988.

[21] G. STRANG AND A. EDELMAN, *The Toeplitz-circulant eigenvalue problem $ax = \lambda cx$*, in Oakland Conference on PDE's, L. Bragg and J. Dettman, eds., Longmans, London, 1987.

[22] W. TRENCH, *Solution of systems with Toeplitz matrices generated by rational functions*, Lin. Algeb. Appl., 74 (1986), pp. 191–211.

[23] ———, *Toeplitz systems associated with the product of a formal Laurent series and a Laurent polynomial*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 9 (1988), pp. 181–193.

[24] J. H. WILKINSON, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Oxford University Press, 1965.

[25] P. YIP AND K. R. RAO, *A fast computational algorithm for the discrete Sine transform*, IEEE Trans. Commun., COM-28 (1980), pp. 304–307.